ISAAC RULAND.

FEBRUARY 29, 1840.
Laid on the table.

Mr. GIDDINGS, from the Committee of Claims, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Isaac Ruland, report:

1st. That the petitioner claims indemnity for property which he states to have been lost on the river Raisin, in 1813, during the captivity of the petitioner.

2d. For two horses, which he states were lost in the service of the United

States.

3d. For moneys said to have been paid by the petitioner for the benefit of Government.

4th. For a boat, taken, as he states, by order of Colonel Miller.

5th. For property which he alleges to have saved, for the use of the United

States, at the mouth of Detroit river.

This claim was submitted to the Committee of Claims at the 2d session of the 25th Congress, and an unfavorable report made thereon. Since that time, the affidavits of the claimant and of John Ruland have been referred to the committee. The committee would remark, that the affidavit of the claimant cannot be received to establish a distinct and substantive fact. The effect of such affidavit can only extend so far, generally, as to show the loss of papers, or to explain facts appearing from other proofs. The design of the present affidavit of the claimant being to establish the fact that the boat was either impressed or purchased by an officer of the United States, the committee are of opinion that no effect can be given to it.

The deposition of John Ruland does not establish any fact material in the case, and the committee are now left with the same proof as at the time of the former report. The committee, at this time, accord with the views then expressed, and now refer to said report as expressive of their present opinions. They therefore recommend to the House, for adoption, the fol-

lowing resolution:

Resolved, That the petitioner is not entitled to relief.

Blair & Rives, printers.

ISHAC RULANDA

PROBUMARY 29, 1840 Laid on the table.

Mr. Gipping, from the Committee of Claims, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee of Elaims, to whom seas referred the petition of Isaac Buland, report.

let. That the petitioner claims indemnity for property which he states to two been lost on the river Karsin, in 1813, during the captivity of the fittemer.

2d: Por two horses, which he states were lost in the service of the United

3d. I've moneys said to have been paid by the peritioner for the benefit Government.

lth. For a logic talent, as he states by order of Colonel Miller

baths, at the enough of the charges to have saved, for the tree of the United lates, at the enough of the gold given.

This claim were releasing to the factor of the contract of the contract

Insectant was attended to the Countries of Claims at the 2d session of the 25th Congress and an unityrorable separa made thereon. Since that line, the affidavits of the claims flowing it, took falland have been referred to the committee. The committee would remark, that the affidavit of the sament cannot be used to establish a distinct and substantive fact, he effect of such affidavit can only extend so far, generally, as to show he loss of papers, or to explain facts appearing from other proofs. The can of the present affidavit of the claimant being to establish the fact as the boat was either impressed or purchased by an officer of the United last the committee are of opinion that no ellect can be eften to it.

The deposition of John Ruhard does not establish any fact material in the committee are now left with the same proof as at the time of committee, at this fine, accordantly the views of the present of they describe to said report at expression of their present and now refer to said report at expression of their present commend to the House, for exoption, that following resolutions.

Kereberd, That the petitioner is not confided to relief.

lair & Rivis, printers